Preserve

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1933.
(Mazrai)

330
File No. — 33

Serial Nos.

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos

Part B for March 34.

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning the Compromise in the O.S. 13/30-31. in the District Court Nellore in Mr N. Krishnaswamy Vs. Govt. re Balakrishnarajapuram Charities.

Filed.

MWG 330-55-1

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

GEN. & REV. SECRET. 920. 1934

Read:-

No.525/30-31 dated 1st November 1933 from the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding a copy of the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter No.H.S/M.C. dated 21st November 1933 together with its enclosures submitting for consideration certain terms of compromise proposed by the plaintiff in O.S. 13/30-31 on the file of the District Court, Mysore (N.Krishnaswamy Rao Vs. District Muzrai Officer), and soliciting sanction of Government in the circumstances stated to compromise the suit on the lines suggested by the Deputy Commissioner.

2. Letter No.3. dated 25th January 1934 from the Public Prosecutor, Mysore forwarding a copy of the Razinama as finally agreed to by the plaintiff's Advocate in the above case.

Order No P-3928-31/Law 89-33-11

Dated, Bangalore, the 15th February 1934.

Government are pleased to sanction the compromise of the above noted suit O.S.13/30-31 (Mr.N.Krishnaswamy Rao Vs. Government) on the file of the District Court, Mysore, on the lines of the Razinama agreed to.

By order and in the name of the Governor,

M Varadarao

Jt.Secretary to Government.

TSD

OFFICE OF THE ... 6482

To

The Muzrai Commissioner at Mysore
The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District
The Public Prosecutor, Mysore with enclosure of the Government order in his D.O. letter No.1025 dated 25th January 1934.
The Comptroller to Government of Mysore.

Psk.7

Mem Br. draft for approval
[illegible]
Director) by MVR 21/2/34

Returned for

27/2/34

11 Members)



In the Court of the District Judge, Mysore.
O.S.No.13 of 1930-1931

Plaintiff:-
Mr.N.Krishnaswamy Rao Vs Defendant:-
District Muzrai Officer

The Plaintiff and the defendant abovenamed beg to file the following Rajinama and pray that a decree may be passed in terms of the Rajinama each party bearing his own costs.

RAJINAMA

1. The Plaintiff abovenamed agrees for himself and his heirs and successors that he will spend not less than Rs 2,035 and not more than Rs 2,500 annually for the maintenance of the temple and chatram built, by the Late Rai Bahadur Bakshi Narasappa in Mysore. The said sum of Rs 2,035 to Rs 2,500 shall be spent for purposes mentioned in the will of the founder of the said institutions. The amount of money to be spent as aforesaid shall include the value of paddy or rice used for the temple and the chatram.

11. An income derived from the properties endowed to the institutions over and above the expenses actually incurred (the aforesaid sum) shall be appropriated and enjoyed by the plaintiff, his heir and successors.

111. The plaintiff hereby assures the Government that he has not in any manner alienated or encumbered the suit lands and agrees that the suit lands shall not be alienated in future by himself or his heirs and successors.

1V. The plaintiff or his heirs and successors shall submit to the defendant or his successors in office annually a statement showing the income derived from the properties endowed to the temple and chatram and the expenditure incurred for their proper maintenance

V. The plaintiff or his heirs and successors shall maintain the temple and chatram buildings in good repair and they shall aloow periodical inspection of the institutions and their accounts by the Local Muzrai Officers. The institution shall always be subject to inspection by Muzrai Officers of and above the rank of Amildars; but no subordinate or subordinates of the said officers shall do so without being specially authorised in that behalf and without previous notice to the plaintiff or his heirs and successors.

VI. Failure on the part of the plaintiff or his heirs and successors to act up to any one of the conditions above-written shall involve the assumption of the management of the suit Inam Lands by the Government for and on behalf of the institutions.

VII. The defendant shall, however, take no action without giving the plaintiff or his heirs and successors an opportunity to explain any allegations or charges made in respect of the management of the institutions.

VIII. The penal clause in this Rajinama shall not be enforced until and unless the plaintiff or his heirs and successors is or are given an opportunity to remedy the defect or irregularity that may be found out by the defendant.

Sd. S. Sundara Rao,
Advocate for Plaintiff.
24.1.1934

Sd. K. Ramaswamy,
Public Prosecutor,
24.1.1934

Sd. [illegible]
for General Secretary to Government.